



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,751	01/19/2001	Ernest Cohen	APP 1277-US	8892
9941	7590	09/03/2004	EXAMINER	
TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ONE TELCORDIA DRIVE 5G116 PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-4157			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3621

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/765,751

Applicant(s)

COHEN, ERNEST

Examiner

Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit

3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 July 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 35, 37-39 and 41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 35, 37-39 and 41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's RCE, filed on 07/01/2004.
2. Claims 1-34, 36 and 40 are cancelled, and claims 35, 37-39 and 41 are pending.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

3. Claim 37 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 35, 37-39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Matyas, Jr. (U.S. pat. No. 6,102,287) in view of Kuroda et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,779).**

As per claims 35, 37, 38, 39 and 41 Matyas substantially an electronic system in which a buyer purchases a product by sending an electronic payment order to a seller, the electronic payment system, comprising:

Art Unit: 3621

the purchaser obtaining from the vendor transaction information identifying the transaction including the purchase amount (see., abstract, col 3, lines 23-56, col 11, lines 34-65);

at the purchaser electronically performing a message authentication code function on the transaction information and purchaser identification information including a secret key of the purchaser's to obtaining secure information regarding the transaction and the purchaser (see., abstract, col 3, lines 23-56, col 11, lines 34-65, col 2, lines 7-28).

Matyas further discloses the step of adding value to the transition information see., col 13, lines 1-19. It is to be noted that Matyas fails to explicitly disclose the steps of providing the purchaser identification information and the secure information to the vendor; the vendor transmitting the vendor transaction information, the purchaser identification information, and the secure information to a verifier; and the verifier using the purchaser's secret key to perform the same message authentication code function, and if the result is the same verifying the security of the electronic transaction. However, Kuroda discloses an electronic data storage that includes a data storage unit for storing electronic data, an authentication information generation unit for generating authentication information used see., col 12, lines 56-67, col 13, lines 23-29, and lines 6-22, specifically wherein it is stated that the electronic data storage uses as data the result of linking the electronic data with the transaction identifier, and generates an authentication information MAC using a master key). Kuroda further discloses the step of comparing or verifying a result of the received information (see., col 13, lines 6-22, and also it is inherent to know that the MAC of Kuroda can be used as a credit card).

Art Unit: 3621

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time was made to modify the electronic payment system of Matyas by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Kuroda because this would verify the correctness of the electronic data transfer.

As per claims 36 Matyas discloses the claimed wherein said vendor transaction information includes the purchase amount (see., col 5, lines 12-24).

#### REMARKS

6. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the prior art of record taken alone or in combination fail to anticipate or render obvious the recited feature:

" authentication the transaction amount and the identity of the vendor, and the purchase amount". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees in the abstract, col 3, lines 23-56, col 11, lines 34-65.

#### ***Conclusion***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

August 30, 2004